● PRINTER RUSH ●
(PTO ASSISTANCE)

2ND REQUEST

Application : 09/775,953	Examiner : E. Abraham	GAU : 2133
From: S. Winslow	Location: (IDC) FMF FDC	Date: 8-10-05

Tracking #: 6108872-0   Week Date: 5-23-05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
☐ 1449	_____	☐ Continuing Data
☐ IDS	_____	☐ Foreign Priority
☒ CLM	3-14-05	☐ Document Legibility
☒ IIFW	4-21-05	☐ Fees
☐ SRFW	_____	☐ Other
☐ DRW	_____	
☐ OATH	_____	
☐ 312	_____	
☐ SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Claims 2 and 3 (orig. 4) depend on claim 21 (orig. 32) which is higher

Claims 9-15 and 19 (orig. 11-17 + 21) all depend on claim 22 (orig. 33) which is higher

Claim 17 (orig. 19) depends on claim 23 (orig. 34) which is higher

Please correct dependencies   Thanks

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: The claim dependency requires, that dependent claims to be dependent on, for example, claim 1 or claim 21 (original 32), examiner chose the dependency to be on claim 1. and the dependent claim does not have to be on the higher claim and actually the dependent claim is more appropriate to depend on the 'nearest' independent claim.

Please resolve this problem accordingly   **INITIALS:** EA

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04